



Naturally reductive homogeneous spaces – classification and special geometries

Ana Cristina Ferreira
CMAT - Universidade do Minho
anaferreira@math.uminho.pt

Symmetries in Geometry, Analysis and Spectral Theory
Paderborn, 23-26 July 2018



Homogeneous manifolds

→ Link algebraic theory of Lie groups and geometric notions such as isometry and curvature

- É. Cartan 1926

→ classification of (Riemannian) symmetric spaces

However classification without further assumptions seems to be impossible

Substantial work in special cases:

→ very small dimensions, positive curvature, isotropy irreducible...

Our class: naturally reductive homogeneous spaces

Homogeneous space

- (M, g) plus $G \subseteq \text{Iso}(M)$ s.t. G acts on M transitively and effectively.

H stabilizer of a point $p \in M$, $M = G/H$.

→ Assume M with good properties: connected, simply connected, complete.

Thm. [Ambrose-Singer]

(M, g) is homogeneous iff $\exists T \in \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$ s. t.

→ $\nabla T = 0 = \nabla R$ (AS)

∇ metric connection with torsion T

$$[\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}T]$$

Constructive proof! 2

Torsion tensors

- $\mathcal{T} = \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$

→ Under the action of $O(n)$

[Cartan]

$$\mathcal{T} = \Lambda^3 M \oplus TM \oplus \mathcal{C}$$

→ Eight classes of homogeneous spaces

- $T \in TM$

[Tricerri-Vanhecke]

→ (M^n, g) is the **hyperbolic space** of dim n

Naturally reductive: $T \in \Lambda^3 M$

[assume $T \neq 0$]

Naturally reductive spaces

Dfn. G/H is *naturally reductive* if \mathfrak{h} admits a *reductive* complement \mathfrak{m} in \mathfrak{g} :

$$\langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z \rangle + \langle Y, [X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = 0$$

→ The PFB $G \rightarrow G/K$ induces a metric connection ∇ with torsion

$$g(T(X, Y), Z) := T(X, Y, Z) = -\langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z \rangle,$$

the so-called *canonical connection* of the nat. red. homog. space.

Conversely,

Nomizu construction

given (M, g, T) as in (AS) we can recover $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$:

→ \mathfrak{h} is the holonomy algebra, \mathfrak{m} is identified with $T_p M$, for some p

→ $[A + X, B + Y] = ([A, B]_{\mathfrak{h}} - R(X, Y)) + (AY - BX - T(X, Y))$

Examples

- any Lie group with a biinv. metric (b.t.w. flat) [Cartan-Schouten]
- construction/classification of left-invariant nat. red. metrics on compact Lie groups [D'Atri-Ziller]
- all isotropy irreducible homogeneous manifolds

- Spheres can carry several nat.red.structures, for example

$$S^{2n+1} = \mathrm{SO}(2n+2)/\mathrm{SO}(2n+1) = \mathrm{SU}(n+1)/\mathrm{SU}(n),$$

$$S^6 = G_2/\mathrm{SU}(3), \quad S^7 = \mathrm{Spin}(7)/G_2, \quad S^{15} = \mathrm{Spin}(9)/\mathrm{Spin}(7).$$

But if (M, g) not loc. isometric to sphere or Lie group then admits at most ONE nat. red. structure. [Olmos-Reggiani]

Known classifications

- In dimension 3

[Tricerri-Vanhecke]

→ space forms: \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathbb{S}^3 and \mathbb{H}^3 (in infinite number)

→ one of the following (with suitable left inv. metric): $SU(2)$, $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, H^3

- In dimension 4

[Kowalski-Vanhecke]

→ M is loc. $\mathbb{R} \times N^3$ with N^3 nat. red.

- In dimension 5

[Kowalski-Vanhecke]

→ $SU(3)/SU(2)$ or $SU(2, 1)/SU(2)$

→ H^5

→ $(K_1 \times K_2)/SO(2)$, where K_1 and K_2 are $SU(2)$, $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ or H^3

Our approach

- Look at the parallel torsion as the fundamental object.
- For ‘non-degenerate’ torsion, the connection in (AS) is the characteristic connection for some known geometry (almost contact, almost Hermitian...).

An important tool

- $\sigma_T := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i \lrcorner T) \wedge (e_i \lrcorner T) = \overset{X,Y,Z}{\mathfrak{S}} g(T(X, Y), T(Z, V)) \quad (= 0 \text{ if } n \leq 4)$

$$* \quad \overset{X,Y,Z}{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{R}(X, Y, Z, V) = \sigma_T(X, Y, Z, V) \quad * \quad dT = 2\sigma_T$$

Thm. [Agricola-Friedrich-F.]

M irreducible, $n \geq 5$, $\nabla T = 0$, $\sigma_T = 0$, then M is a simple compact Lie group (with biinv. metric) or its dual noncompact symmetric space.

Dimension 6

→ $*\sigma_T$ is a 2-form.

→ Can be seen as a skew endomorphism.

Does it induce an almost complex structure?

Not always... Classify it by its rank (=0,2,4,6)

Case A: $\sigma_T = 0$

Thm.

[AFF]

A Riem. 6-mnfd with parallel skew torsion T s.t. $\sigma_T = 0$ splits into two 3-dimensional manifolds with parallel skew torsion.

Cor.

[AFF]

Any 6-dim. nat. red. homog. space with $\sigma_T = 0$ is loc. isometric to a product of two 3-dimensional nat. red. homog. spaces.

Dimension 6

Case B: $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 2$

Thm. [AFF]

Let (M^6, g, T) be a 6-mnfd with parallel skew torsion s.t. $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 2$. Then $\nabla\mathcal{R} = 0$, i. e. M is nat. red.

Furthermore, M is a product $K_1 \times K_2$ of two Lie groups equipped with a left inv. metric and K_1 and K_2 are $SU(2)$, $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ or H^3

Case C: $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 4$

Cannot occur.

Dimension 6

Case D: $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 6$

$*\sigma_T$ induces an almost complex structure J ✓

Characteristic connection.

[Friedrich-Ivanov]

(M^{2n}, g, J, Ω) admits ∇ with skew torsion T such that $\nabla J = 0 = \nabla g$ iff N is skew-symmetric.

$$\rightarrow T = N + d^J \Omega$$

$$N(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY] - [X, Y]$$

$$d^J \Omega(X, Y, Z) = d\Omega(JX, JY, JZ)$$

Dimension 6

Case D: $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 6$

Thm. [AFF]

Let (M^6, g, T) be a 6-mnfd with parallel skew torsion s.t. $\text{rk}(*\sigma_T) = 6$. Then:

Case D.1 (M^6, g) is nearly Kähler: $(\nabla_X^g J)X = 0$ (*)

Case D.2 (M^6, g) is nat. red. and:

→ a 2-step nilp. group with Lie alg. $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ s.t. $[(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)] = (0, u_1 \times u_2)$

→ $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, → $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ → $S^3 \times S^3$, → $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$

(*) If homog. then nat. red. and: $S^6, S^3 \times S^3, \mathbb{C}P^3, \text{U}(3)/\text{U}(1)^3$ [Butruille 2005]

Other dimensions

Semidirect products

H, N connected Lie groups, N abelian.

$\varphi : H \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ non-trivial homomorphism.

$H \rtimes_{\varphi} N$: $H \times N$ with $(h_1, n_1)(h_2, n_2) = (h_1 h_2, n_1 + \varphi(h_1)n_2)$

Induces $\varphi_* : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{n})$ $[(A, u), (B, v)] = ([A, B], \varphi_*(A)(v) - \varphi_*(B)(u))$

Lemma.

[Agricola-F.]

$H \rtimes_{\varphi} N$ admits no bi-invariant metrics.

Corollary.

H compact, N vector space: $H \rtimes_{\varphi} N$ and $H \times N$ are not isomorphic as Lie groups .

Other dimensions

Tangent Lie groups

- Choose: $H = G, N = \mathfrak{g}$
 $\varphi = \text{Ad} : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ the adjoint representation.

→ $TG = G \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$ tangent Lie group

→ TG is the tangent bundle of G

- Metrics of split signature have been constructed on generic TM^n [Kobayashi-Yano]
- Nad. red. metrics lift to nat. red. metrics (split signature) [Sekizawa]

Tangent Lie groups

Compact Lie group G equipped with a bi-invariant metric.

Thm. [AF]

There is a two-parameter family of almost Hermitian structures $(TG, g_{a,b}, J_{a,b})$:

→ Characteristic connection ∇ is s.t. $\nabla T = 0 = \nabla R$

→ $\text{hol}(\nabla) = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$

→ Each metric $g_{a,b}$ is isometric to a left-invariant metric on $G \times \mathfrak{g}$.

Further directions

New families were produced by R. Storm

(generalizes a construction of C. Gordon for 2-step nilpotent groups)

R. Storm advanced a classif. of nat. red. spaces in dim. 7 and 8.